



London
6 Pump Court
Temple
London, EC4Y 7AR
T 020 7797 8400
F 020 7797 8401
DX 293 LDE
E
<https://www.6pumpcourt.co.uk/clerk-contact/>

Maidstone
6-8 Mill Street
Maidstone
Kent, ME15 6XH
T 01622 688094/5
F 01622 688096
DX 51967 Maidstone 2
E
<https://www.6pumpcourt.co.uk/clerk-contact/>



Craig Evans

Call: 2010

Contact

telephone [020 7797 8400](tel:02077978400)

email craig.evans@6pumpcourt.co.uk

Area of expertise

[Civil & Commercial](#)

[Criminal Law](#)

[Regulatory & Competition](#)

Professional memberships

[Criminal Bar Association](#)

Profile

Education

Law LLB (Hons), University of East Anglia (2009)

Bar Vocational Course, BPP Law School (2010)

Practice

Craig was called to the Bar by the Middle Temple in 2010.

Craig has established himself as a busy and hard working criminal practitioner. He is known for his

thorough approach to his casework, as well as his empathetic attitude to those who find themselves appearing at Court.

He undertakes both prosecution and defence work across all areas of the criminal spectrum, including serious drug and violent offences. He has particular experience in cases involving young and vulnerable witnesses.

Craig receives instructions from a wide number of defence solicitors, as well as the CPS in both London and Kent.

He also prosecutes for local authorities, regularly appearing in the Magistrates' Court for a range of offences.

Craig has experience of representing Defendants appearing before the Court of Appeal.

Cases of Note

- R v MacFoy (Court of Appeal) Successful appeal against sentence imposed following sentence for a conspiracy to supply class A drugs.
- R v Ettalmudi (Court of Appeal) Successfully appealed a sentence, both on the application of the relevant Sentencing Council guideline and the principle of totality.
- R v Jolly (Court of Appeal) Represented an appellant in a successful appeal against sentence. The appellant had been sentenced to an indeterminate sentence for public protection, which was quashed and a determinate sentence imposed instead.
- R v Whab (Maidstone Crown Court) Represented a defendant charged with wounding with intent, in which the defendant was alleged to have glassed a man to the eye.
- R v Roman (Canterbury Crown Court) Instructed to represent a man charged with importing of over £200,000 worth of cannabis.
- R v Smith (Inner London Crown Court) Defended a man charged with aggravated burglary, which involved the use of a knife to threaten the occupant.
- R v Boden (Canterbury Crown Court) Represented a man allegedly involved in a large scale conspiracy to steal. Case involved a significant amount of phone and cell-site evidence.
- R v Flemwell (Canterbury Crown Court) Instructed to defend a man of good character charged with conspiracy to export stolen motor vehicles.
- R v Bennett (Maidstone Crown Court) Represented a man charged with harassment with intent to commit a sexual offence and sexual assault.
- R v Pearson (Maidstone Crown Court) Represented a defendant charged with making threats to kill, threats to cause criminal damage, possession of a bladed article and common assault. The case involved vulnerable witnesses and bad character applications.
- R v Crowther (Canterbury Crown Court) Represented the defendant at a 'did he do the act' trial after the defendant was found to be unfit to plead.